

### ARGUMENT/REBUTTAL SIGNATURES

*(The following statement is required by California Elections Code Section 9600.)*

The undersigned authors of the Rebuttal to the argument In Favor <sup>Measure</sup> ballot proposition T  
 at the General Municipal election for the City of Encinitas <sup>Direct OR Rebuttal to the</sup> In favor of OR Against Letter  
 Election Title Jurisdiction to be held on 11/8/16 Date hereby state  
 that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.  
 his/her/their

**NOTE**

At least one of the authors/signers (designated the "filer") shall meet the criteria of being a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the <sup>measure</sup> proposition appears. Any other signers are not required to meet that or any other criteria and are assumed to appear at the discretion and approval of the filer.

\*Organization Title (Optional)

NAME (Signature): <u>[Signature]</u> (Print): <u>PETER C STERN</u> *TITLE (Optional): <u>RET. ATTY</u> ADDRESS: <u>CARDIFF CA</u> DATE: <u>8/28/16</u> PHONE: <u>?</u>	NAME (Signature): <u>[Signature]</u> (Print): <u>LAW THOMPSON</u> *TITLE (Optional): <u>BUSINESS EXECUTIVE</u> ADDRESS: <u>ENCINITAS CA</u> DATE: <u>8/29/16</u> PHONE: <u>?</u>
NAME (Signature): <u>[Signature]</u> (Print): <u>Dennis Stolz</u> *TITLE (Optional): <u>Attorney &amp; Former Mayor of Encinitas</u> ADDRESS: <u>ENCINITAS CA 92024</u> DATE: <u>8/29/16</u> PHONE: <u>?</u>	NAME (Signature): <u>[Signature]</u> (Print): <u>Susan Turney</u> *TITLE (Optional): <u>Communications Professional</u> ADDRESS: <u>ENCINITAS, CA 92024</u> DATE: <u>8-29-16</u> PHONE: <u>?</u>

\* If provided, this title may be printed in the Sample Ballot/Voter Information Pamphlet.

NAME (Signature): [Signature]  
 (Print): Gerald Sodomka  
 \*TITLE (Optional): Floriculturist  
 ADDRESS: Cardiff by the Sea, CA  
 DATE: 8-27-16 PHONE: ?

**NOTE**

*Registrar of Voters policy does not allow candidates, campaign managers, campaign treasurers, argument or rebuttal signers of ballot measures or members of their immediate family to host a polling place or act as a poll worker in the jurisdiction in which the candidacy or ballot measure of the interested party is being voted upon.*



CITY OF ENCINITAS

AUTHORIZATION FOR ANOTHER PERSON TO SIGN REBUTTAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to Elections Code §9285(2) the author or a majority of the authors of an argument relating to a city measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other persons or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

The following author(s) of the Argument  In Favor of/  Against Measure \_\_\_\_ authorize the following person(s) to prepare, submit or sign the Rebuttal to the Argument  In Favor of/  Against Measure \_\_\_\_ for the City of Encinitas General Municipal Election to be held on November 8, 2016.

Signatures of author or a majority of the authors of the Argument  In Favor of/  Against

① Pam Slater Price Pamela Slater Price 08-15-2016  
Name (Print) Signature Date

② Tim Flannery [Signature] Aug 14, 2016  
Name (Print) Signature Date

③ Donna Flannery [Signature] Aug 14, 2016  
Name (Print) Signature Date

④ Bob Bonde [Signature] Aug 17, 2016  
Name (Print) Signature Date

The following individuals are authorized to prepare, submit or sign the Rebuttal to the Argument

In Favor of  Against Measure I

Print Name	Peter Osterm
Title	RETI ATTY
Print Name	Ian Thompson
Title	BUSINESS EXECUTIVE
Print Name	Dennis Holz
Title	Attorney and Former Mayor of ENCINITAS
Print Name	Susan Turney
Title	Communications Professional
Print Name	Jerry Sodomka
Title	Floriculturist

Please attach this form to the Argument/Rebuttal Signature Form submitted with the Rebuttal Argument.

⑤ Bruce Ehlers [Signature] Aug. 17, 2016

## REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE T

In the ballot measure description and the argument in favor, NOTHING describes precisely what you are asked to approve: NO mention of UPZONING, NO mention of commercial and residential MIXED USE, NO mention of reduced parking requirements on new projects, NO mention of increased building heights to 48 feet, NO requirement of one single affordable house to be built, NO mention of the windfall profits for lucky property owners, and NO mention of the increases in traffic, carbon emissions, and strains on infrastructure.

Only by reading all 230 pages of the measure do you know all the details. Design guidelines such as parking and setbacks can be waived by the unelected Director of Planning. INCONSISTENT with Prop A: changes height measurement, eliminates future update votes, adds livable attic.

The Global Warming Solutions Act is ignored. Only superficial Environmental Impact Reports were done with NO vetting by our own Environmental Commission. Vote NO to preserve our unique Southern California beach town and prevent higher density. Inexpensive housing cannot be built because our land is too expensive.

Glen Campora, Director of the Department of Housing and Community Development, confirmed publicly the State never sues a city for not passing a housing element update, but only finds for compliance. Lawsuits from developers could result in a judge ordering another vote. Do not let Encinitas be held hostage by a few developers.

NO on Measure T. Send it back and demand a better plan.

Committee Opposed To Encinitas Measure T

[www.EncinitasSaveOurCity.com](http://www.EncinitasSaveOurCity.com) [info@encinitassaveourcity.com](mailto:info@encinitassaveourcity.com)

760-94NqOnT (760-946-6668)