

Coastal Mobility and Livability Working Group (CMLWG)

DRAFT Summary Notes

Meeting No. 9

January 30, 2018

5:30 – 8:30 pm

Poinsettia Room, Encinitas Civic Center

505 S. Vulcan Avenue

Encinitas, California 92024

A copy of the CMLWG meeting agenda and packet may be viewed by the public in the Development Services Department lobby during normal business hours and on the City's webpage at <http://www.encinitasca.gov/index.aspx?page=529>

1. **AGENDA REVIEW**
2. **CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL**
3. **ACCEPT MEETING #8 NOTES**

The CMLWG is comprised of thirteen (13) regular members. The CMLWG is also comprised of a representative from each of the City's Commissions to serve as ex-officio members.

Regular Members

1. Kellie Hinze, Leucadia 101
2. Brett Farrow, Cardiff 101
3. Tom Cozens, Encinitas 101
4. Jim Benedetti, Chamber
5. Richard Risner, Preserve Cardiff
Rail Corridor
6. Jody Hubbard, Yes Rail Trail
7. Rahul Deshpande, Cardiff T.C.
8. William Morrison, Leucadia T.C.
9. Judy Berlfein, Bike/Walk Encinitas

Ex Officio Commission Members

1. Greg Drakos, Planning
2. Peter Kohl, Traffic and Safety
3. Joy Lyndes, Environmental
4. Judy Thum, Arts
5. Gabriella Gjata, Youth
6. Kris Stewart, Senior
7. John Gjata, Parks and Recreation

10. Mikayla McFadden, Paul Ecke School
11. Robert Hemphill, Engaged Citizen
12. Ron Dodge, Engaged Citizen
13. Carmen Barnard, Latino/Hispanic

At this meeting, 16 members that have been formally appointed were present. Roberta Walker served as an alternate for Brett Farrow, and John Eldon served as an alternate for Joy Lyndes. Four were absent, (Jim Benedetti, Robert Hemphill, Gabrielle Gjata, Judy Thum).

4. PUBLIC COMMENT (15 MINUTES)

- Minutes show that City Council unanimously removed Eolus and Hillcrest from the "Recreational Trails Plan" in 2002, and that neighborhood's streets should not be included in this plan. (Robert Curry, Eolus Ave.)
- Provided fliers outlining the history of Eolus/Hillcrest neighborhood contesting the "Recreational Trails Plan," including the three City Council meetings. No trails or sidewalks on Eolus, Hymettus, Hillcrest, or Glaucus. (Joseph Tunner, Eolus Ave.)
- Proposed trails have no endings. Hillcrest is substandard. Where is the data and who spoke for or proposed the trails? (Dianna Nunez, Hillcrest Dr.)
- As a walker/runner/biker of this neighborhood, there is no need for trails. On a 1 hr walk, he might see 9 cars, so it's not busy. Money would be better spent improving crossings on Hwy 101 and cleaning up Vulcan. (Rob Fleener, Hillcrest Dr.)
- New to Hillcrest neighborhood, but has same concerns. What is reasoning for trail on Hillcrest? The population on Vulcan has gone up and there needs to be safety improvements on Vulcan and for crossing the railroad. (Esther Boynton, Hillcrest Dr.)
- 20-year resident on Eolus. Would these improvements be best use of limited funds? Traffic control would be more important. Street painting/crosswalks needed. The top priority should be railroad crossings. Reasons against the trail also include existing drainage issues, making the road appear wider (less safe), and the unnecessary cost. (Ken Lydersen, Eolus Ave.)
- The neighborhood (Hillcrest/Hymettus) was established under county regulations, so it has a different feel. Would a trail have to be ADA? Paved? The path along Orpheus leads to a ditch. (Kathleen Lees, Urania Ave.)

- Olivenhain resident. Member of the Parks & Rec Committee. Olivenhain is concerned about loss of trails. It was City Council's idea to maintain the trail/ranch feel of the neighborhood. The "Trails Master Plan has a traffic element. City has seen 15 years of population growth and needs more routes to accommodate. Hasn't heard a good reason as to why not have trails in neighborhood (Hillcrest/Hymettus)? (Doug Goad, Olivenhain)
- Travel on foot or bike. Improvements on Hillcrest/Eolus not best use of funds when there are other safety concerns. However, should dedicate space to pedestrians on all roads. Consider one-way streets to improve pedestrian space. Parking should be paid, especially in commercial zones. Parking equals equity. (Marty Benson, Saxony Rd.)

5. DESIGN GUIDELINES SUBCOMMITTEE UPDATE

- Subcommittee concluded that CPP process works for City projects, but more communication desired with SANDAG/Caltrans projects.
- Need to be involved early for trail/path design of CRT
- Provided revised language for the "CRT Design Input" page, and re-ordered priorities
- Project review process should reflect neighboring properties

PRESENTATION OF PARKING STUDY 2ND DRAFT (Chen+Ryan)

WG Input

- Vast improvement
- Concern over loss of parking on Vulcan
- Concern of "actual v. worst-case v. improvements" numbers (How much capacity on average day v. event days?)
- Who enforces 2-hr parking? 2-hrs not long enough in Leucadia (3-4 hrs)
- Look into parking sensors/apps
- Different time limits for different areas
- Concerns over Leucadia parking for events (i.e. Farmers Market)
- Look into La Mesa resident transponder example
- Would like a before and after study once bike/ped improvements are made
- Should have paid parking at beaches, with passes for residents (review beach parking)

PRESENTATION OF CITYWIDE ATP 2ND DRAFT IMPROVEMENTS (KTU+A)

WG Input

- Manchester Ave. should have emphasis (Encinitas Blvd. and Leucadia Blvd. also)

- Rubenstein Ave. should be a route but residents will not want more pavement (Use decomposed granite)
- Eolus/Hymettus/Hillcrest routes:
 - Orpheus – YES
 - Hillcrest – NO
 - Eolus- NO
 - Hymettus – NO
 - Glaucus – east/west connection, minimal enhancement

6. NEXT STEPS

Next meeting TBD to review draft ATP

7. CONT'D PUBLIC COMMENT

- Plastic “candlesticks” helpful in Berkeley. It would be nice to have topo lines on maps. Bridge at Vulcan/Encinitas may not be necessary (over designed), but the Danish Scramble option is good. In Cardiff, every street does not have to be a route. Signage will be important for east/west Glaucus/Hillcrest. (Eric Estrin, Diana St.)
- Please post the minutes of the meeting with the ATP. Thank you for listening. (Joe Tunner, Eolus Ave.)

8. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting concluded at 8:45 PM.