

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | C-1 | C-2 | C-3 | C-4 | C-5 | C-6 | C-7 | If you would like to describe different sites and/o designs, please do so here. |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | RI-S3 | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | - | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | - | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | NOHOUSING | RI-S3 | • | RI-ML3 | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | - | | - | NCMU-L23 | - | - | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | - | - | - | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | - | • | NOHOUSING | - | - | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | VCMU-M23 | Rt-S3 | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | - | - | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | | RI-ML23 | - | • | NOHOUSING | • | - | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | | | - | - | RI-ML3 | RI-ML3 | - | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | - | - | - | RI-S23 | NCMU-L23 | - | - | |
| Cardiff Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | It is critical that Cardiff Area 3 not be allowed to b stories, as to do so would have adverse view impact |
| | | | | | | | | | | | directly east. |
| Cardiff Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | • | - | • | - | RI-ML23 | • | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | VCMU-M23 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | - | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | • | |
| Cardiff Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | - | RI-ML23 | • | - | • | - | • | |
| Cardiff Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | - | RI-ML23 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cardiff Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | I believe that the city should address Public Access |

and like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood

build beyond current 2 pact on all homes

ess in any planning updates. What we need is a more walkable city. By that I mean more trails and parks compared to having to take sidewalks everywhere. Take note on what the Port Of SD is doing with Public Access to the bay as an example.

If was forced to choose what places to redevelop, I'd have to go with the #3 and #7 locations due to the fact that it is within walking distance of the beach and other services. But you would need to address parking in those designs.

The two locations I'd put down as a maybe would be the #1 and #2 locations. This is due to heavy traffic at times on Santa Fe. Realistically you can't expand the road without having to use eminent domain, plus there will already be some changes made with the I-5 Expansion. These changes will at least help traffic getting on and off the freeway, but after those changes, it's down to 2 lanes and congestion from SDA. Personally, I don't want to see apartments across from SDA. If anything, I'd rather see more public space and a few homes which would match the surrounding theme of the area.

That being said, if any work is done on roads, the city will need to expand Manchester and El Camino Real going towards the I-5 from Santa Fe. Instead of 2 lanes, you'll need 3 lanes in each direction especially if the #5 site is ever developed. And I believe that the #5 location should stay mostly Agricultural.

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | C-1 | C-2 | C-3 | C-4 | C-5 | C-6 | C-7 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|-----------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | If anything, depending on future traffic flow, there could be a need to expand access to the DAR for the HOV lanes. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | See attached link for info on that project: |
| | | | | | | | | | | | http://www.dot.ca.gov/dist11/Env_docs/i-SNCC/Final/i- 5_part2_chp2.pdf |
| Cardiff B | ild inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | VCMU-M3 | RI-S23 | NCMU-L3 | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | • | RI-ML3 | - | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | Sites 1 and 2 are well suited to the zone change. The Santa Fe underpass should be updated to support bike/walk, as well as increased traffic, as part of any development. |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | | VCMU-M23 | - | | - | | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | - | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | - | NOHOUSING | | | • |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | VCMU-M23 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | | - | • | | - | RI-ML3 | • | | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | | NCMU-L23 | | - | | Ri-ML3 | | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLD ENCINITAS | on ferum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | RI-523 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | I would like to emphasize that walkability to activity centers, services, grocery stores, schools and Main Street areas is key. I would also love to see all development be to the highest environmental standard and incorporate complete streets models to and from these areas. Elements to include and demand are renewable energies, reclaimed materials, LID (low impact Development design), no grass, water wise and ocean friendly landscaping, and people friendly places with gardens and community spaces. |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | TRUE | - | - | | | RI-ML3 | RI-ML3 | | |
| Cardiff B | ild inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | VCMU-M23 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | I believe the sustainable development of high density affordable |
| | | | | | | | | | | | housing needs to be done in close proximity to business and transportation corridors and Ideally involves a mixed use development to repurpose underutilized strip mall space (sites 1,3,7). As sites S and 6 are open space or agriculture lots it would preserve community character in the area by maintaining these in their present state, besides I believe the city is encouraging SANDAG to maintain site S as agriculture so I'm not sure why it's an option. I have added extra units to certain areas in this region to offset other areas where there were inadequate options. |

| | | | Owner | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Cardiff Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-S3 | NCMU-L3 | RI-ML3 | NOHOUSING |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1:44 D:1-J | autolida Cammunitus Assas | an farum | CALCE | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | RI-523 | | RI-ML23 | |
| Cardiff Build Cardiff Build | outside Community Areas outside Community Areas | on forum on forum | FALSE TRUE | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 |
| Cardiff Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | INGE | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | - | - | - | KI-WILZ5 | VCIVIO-1VI25 |
| Cardiff Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | | NCMU-L3 | RI-ML3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING |
| Cardiff Build | outside Continuinty Areas | unclaimed | | NCMU-L3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | RI-ML3 | NOHOUSING |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 | RI-S23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | VCMU-M23 |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | VCMU-M3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | - | - | - | - |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | - | | | _ | |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | | RI-ML23 | | | NOHOUSING | - | |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | | VCMU-M23 | | - | - | |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | | - | | - | |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L3 | RI-ML23 | | | | - | |
| Cardiff Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | | | | | RI-ML23 | |
| Cardiff Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | unverified | | NOHOUSING | | - | - | RI-ML3 | RI-ML3 | |
| Cardiff Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | unverified | | | | - | RI-S3 | RI-ML3 | NOHOUSING | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

C-2

C-3

C-4

C-5

C-6

C-7

If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood

In addition to the 7 areas identified and strategies for those areas requested, I think that there are other areas in the Cardiff area that should be considered such as the Crest Drive area. Space is available

Along the high value Crest Drive area such as along Birmingham Street, there are some open spaces for 54 units that could accommodate one of the most needed demographics....seniors. 54 affordable high density housing units that retain the high value of the area would be welcomed by moneyed seniors, These seniors add to the demographics of the Cardiff community. This line of thought would complement the several Cardiff strategies written and submitted.

designs, please do so here.

for the 54 residential units.

Jack Fujimoto

Location

Topic

State

Business C-1

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | 1-1 | 1-2 | L-3 | L-4 | L-5 | L-6 | L-7 | L-8 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Leucadia Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | | • | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | - | RI-ML3 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | M\$MU-\$23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S3 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Leucadia Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | | - | • | RI-\$23 | • | RI-M23 | RI-ML3 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | M\$MU-\$23 | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | - | MSMU-S23 | • | - | - | RI-ML3 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TOUT | | | NOHOUSING | | | RI-M23 | RI-ML3 RI-ML3 | NOHOUSING MSMU-S23 | I'm not at all pleased with the way this program limits input to rigid housing numbers |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING RI-M3 | RI-ML3 | WISIVIU-523 | |
| Leucadia Build Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S3 | , |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | INOL | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S3 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | - | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | - | Since I'm constrained that any selection of an individual site allocates all units to the whole site, I'm unable |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (as far as I can tell) to identify only partial site development. The sites on Leucadia Blvd between Urania and Saxony have traffic issues and should not be developed at a high density unless those traffic issues are solved. So my numbers for Leucadia will be high but only because the full allotment is made on selection. Ultimately, I would stay at the 295 number. |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | M\$MU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-M3 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | | NOHOUSING | | - | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | MSMU-S23 | M\$MU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | | NOHOUSING | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | M\$MU-\$23 | MSMU-S23 | | NOHOUSING | | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | NOHOUSING | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | | RI-M3 | RI-ML3 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum on forum | | MSMU-S23 MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING MSMU-S23 | | NOHOUSING | | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 MSMU-S23 | No 3-story designs. Use more sites & reduce the density across them so the impact is reduced. No one wants |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | oniorum | FALSE | WISIVIO-323 | WISWIO-323 | WISIVIO-323 | NONOUSING | NONOUSING | NONOOSING | M-WLZ3 | 14151410-323 | to move into housing developments that stick out like sore thumbs and upset the neighborhood feeling. Just ask the sales reps at the horrible Fiore development on Urania Do they have any buyers? |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | There are greenhouses on Clark Ave at Union adjacent to I-S. Why not use that land? It is closer to the bus and amenities on Saxony. Also, why not incorporate a site near the Leichtag property on Saxony that could provide lower income housing for farm workers and staff? |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FRUE | MSMU-S23 | - | | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S3 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | NOHOUSING | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-\$23 | R1-S23 | - | - | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | - | - | | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | 1,2,3, and 8 - main street mixed use 2-3 story or hamlet style (See the Nest at Serenbe) |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA inside LEUCADIA | on forum on forum | | MSMU-S3 MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 MSMU-S23 | RI-523 RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | -ALSE | 10131010-323 | 10131010-323 | - | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | M\$MU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | I selected the lowest density, mixed-use choice for all 8 Leucadia sites where all sites would share the brunt |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | of the housing element, high density designation When done, these selections totaled 487 units Leucadia needs to contribute 295 Could we not lower the density on all sites to meet the number of units required and thereby make the impact less hard to swallow for all? Since high density in Encinitas will not translate to affordable for most, why not make the density less on all sites, and thereby assure more attractive, more complementary designs to the existing, adjacent neighborhoods? |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | - | RI-M23 | - | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-S3 | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | M\$MU-\$23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-M23 | - | - | | RI-ML23 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | | - | - | RI-M23 | RI-ML3 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S3 | RI-S3 | RI-M23 | - | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | - | RI-M23 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | | | MSMU-S23 | please, no 3 story buildings if you can avoid it. please choose architecture that is complementary to existing, historic (older than 1950) structures. |
| Leucadia Build | | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | | NOHOUSING | | | I say limit things to two stories and spread the 295 units among all 8 locations. |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | | NOHOUSING | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | Inside LEUCADIA | on forum | 'EDLLE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-53 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M22 | RI-ML23 NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build Leucadia Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum on forum | FAISE | MSMU-S23 MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | | |
| Leucadia Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-M23 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S3 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-M3 | RI-S3 | RI-S3 | RI-M3 | RI-ML3 | | |
| 222200 04110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | L-1 | L-2 | L-3 | L-4 | L-5 | L-6 | L-7 | L-8 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| Laurandia Suild | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S3 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | | | FALSE | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | RI-S3 | RI-S3 | RI-M3 | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | I think the 101 corridor is the only part of Leucadia capable of supporting the zoning. Sites S and 6 had to be |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | | MSMU-523 | | | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | I think the 101 corndor is the only part of Leducadia capable of supporting the 2011ing. Sites 5 and 6 had to be |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | NUHUUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NONCOSING | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | - | | NOUGUEINE | | ******** | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | ALSE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | | NOHOUSING | | MSMU-S23 | A control of the state of the s |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | KI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | I would like to emphasize that walkability to activity centers, services, grocery stores, schools and Main Street areas is key. I would also love to see all development be to the highest environmental standard and |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | incorporate complete streets models to and from these areas. Elements to include and demand are |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | renewable energies, reclaimed materials, LID (low impact Development design), no grass, water wise and |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | ocean friendly landscaping, and people friendly places with gardens and community spaces. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | second friends and people mently press with Second and comments absent |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | | MSMU-S23 | | RI-S23 | - | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | | MSMU-S3 | - | - | RI-S3 | - | - | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | RI-523 | RI-S3 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-523 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | - | MSMU-S23 | • |
| Leucadia Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | RI-S23 | RI-523 | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | • |
| Leucadia Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S3 | | RI-523 | RI-M23 | | NOHOUSING | |
| Leucadia Build | odiside Community Areas | unclaime | 4 | NOHOUSING | | | NOHOUSING | RI-523 | RI-M23 | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | | MSMU-523 | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | | MSMU-523 | - | MSMU-S23 | | NOHOUSING | | | - | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | | 14151410-323 | | RI-M23 | RI-S23 | | NOHOUSING | | | There is/was land for sale on the east slope along the west side of the 5 freeway just south of La Costa that |
| Leucadia Bulio | | uncialine | J | - | - | KI-IVIZ3 | NI-323 | 110110031110 | 110110031110 | IN-IVILO | | appears to be an ideal location. Could this be considered? |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | | | | RI-M23 | RI-523 | MOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | MSMU-523 | appears to be different formation. Court this be continued as |
| | | | | MSMU-S23 | - MSMU-S23 | RI-M3 | NI-323 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | 14131410-323 | |
| Leucadia Build Leucadia Build | | unclaime unclaime | | WISWU-523 | 10151010-523 | MSMU-S23 | - RI-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | | Regardless of which sites are selected for building, I would like the little Leucadia Post Office to remain. It |
| EEGCAGIA DUITO | | dicame | • | | | MISMO SES | 14 525 | 323 | | | | serves our coastal community in a personal way; it is practically a "historical landmark" in our town. Without this post office location, Leucadians would have to travel way up over the hill Into new Encinitas to go to a post office. In addition, I would like 3 story high buildings to be very limitedthey just don't fit in with the environment. Also, it would be great if the elevations of the new housing could have some coastal character. Thanks. |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | - | - | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-523 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | - | Regardless of which sites are selected for building, I would like the little Leucadia Post Office to remain. It serves our coastal community in a personal way; it is practically a "historical landmark" in our town. Without this post office location, Leucadians would have to travel way up over the hill into new Encinitas to go to a post office. In addition, I would like 3 story high buildings to be very limitedthey just don't fit in with the environment. Also, it would be great if the elevations of the new housing could have some coastal character. Thanks. |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | - | RI-S3 | - | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S3 | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | ď | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | - | - | | RI-ML3 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-523 | Ri-M23 | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S23 | I would like to see more options with less density this one size fits all approach doesn't work for me. I've tried twice to submit and was unable to because I was nine houses short on the first try and one house short on the second try and the program won't let me submit |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | đ | MSMU-S23 | | MSMU-S23 | Rł-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-523 | RI-M23 | RI-523 | RI-523 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | RI-M23 | RI-523 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | MSMU-523 | MSMU-523 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | MSMU-523 | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | MSMU-S23 | | RI-M23 | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | MSMU-S23 | - | RI-M23 | | - | | RI-ML23 | | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-523 | RI-53 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | - | | MSMU-S23 | | | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | - | |
| Leucadia Build | | unclaime | d | 7 | | MSMU-S23 | - | | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | | |
| Leucadia Build | inside LEUCADIA | unverifie | đ | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-ML3 | MSMU-S3 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | unverifie | đ | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Leucadia Build | inside OLD FNCINITAS | unverifie | d | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | RI-M3 | RI-53 | RI-53 | | - ' | - | |
| Leucadia Build | outside Community Areas | unverifie | d | - | | - | RI-523 | | RI-M3 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | NE-1 | NE-2 | NE-3 | NE-4 | NE-5 | NE-6 | NE-7 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| New Encinitas Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | • | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | | - | _ | NCMU-L23 | | designs, please do so nere. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | - | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | | | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | - | • | - | NCMU-L23 | - | | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | - | RI-M23 | - | - | | | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | - | - | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | - | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | - | - | RI-M23 | - | - | NCMU-L23 | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | • |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | • |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | • | • | NCMU-L23 | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | - | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L3 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | - | RI-M23 | • | • | - | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | The sites surrounding El Camino Real and Encinitas Blvd. are not a good option for the city. There is already terrible congestion and traffic on El Camino Real and Encinitas Blvd. These area could not accommodate increased traffic. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | • | - | - | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | - | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinites Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | Ri-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | I am surprised That the 99cts store/LA Fitness lot is not showing on the map. This would appear to be a better spot than any of the other 7 location pre-selected. Site #2 is already super impacted. Very hard to find a parking spot in the Craftsman/Jorge parking lot. This also one of the most gridlocked intersection along El Camino Real. Site 4 is the Ralph's & Trader Joe's mall. Very busy place with thriving business. Allowing more density and cars in that mall will business and frustrate residents. Site 5 and 6 are along Encinitas Blvd in a very congested stretch of the Blvd., Site #7 is where Sprouts is and it is hard to get to that mall. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | - | - | RI-M23 | NCMU-L23 | - | - | - | |

| | | . . | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | NE-1 | NE-2 | NE-3 | NE-4 | NE-5 | NE-6 | NE-7 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | Ri-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | I would like any plan that keeps additional development out of view when driving along major corridors. Encinitas is congested enough as it is - it doesn't need to be visually congested, too. We also need LOTS of trees to soften the view of additional construction. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | What additional water restrictions will be imposed on us in order to meet the needs of 1300+ more families? |
| | | | | | | | | | | | What will be the criteria will be for deciding who will get to live in these units? |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Encinitas is already a place that only wealthy people can afford to by a home (very few people have the \$175k+ income required to afford the median \$750,000 home). Stretching our resources and making Encinitas more congested in order to house several thousand more people at the lowest income levels (did I read correctly that the max income to qualify is somewhere around \$30-35k?) leaves the struggling middle class out in the cold. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | • | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | • | - | - | • | NCMU-L23 | The congestion on El Camino Real and Encinitas Blvd is horrible as it is now. Putting the housing in this intersection/area of New Encinitas will only make the traffic worse. Additionally, Encinitas Blvd is a thoroughfare for emergency vehicles. I would like to see the city develop another location for the housing away from El Camino Real and Encinitas Blvd. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | | | - | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | - | - | integrate development of the adjacent sites of 4 and 5 as whole |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | - | _ | - | _ | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L3 | | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | | Traffic is already bad enough on El Camino Real and I would not add any more housing along that corridor. The only logical location, which would be out of the way, is the #3 option and I would only limit that to 2 story homes. On the other hand the #1 location would be a last resort option in my opinion since parking would be a nightmare. The only way to fix that would be to create specific parking structures for the shops and other spots for residents living at the new development. You would also need to address traffic created by the new development in any plan on that site. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | RI-M23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L3 | |

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | NE-1 | NE-2 | NE-3 | NE-4 | NE-5 | NE-6 | NE-7 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|--|--|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L3 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | There is already too much traffic on El Camino around businesses. Site 1 is ideal because it has indirect access with lights to 2 major roads and it is not bordering on quiet neighborhoods. Why aren't there sites along El Camino south of Santa Fe and north of Manchester intersections by churches/flower stands to locate housing near the college for student housing and for commuters who want to avoid driving through many stoplights and go directly to the freeway. I would like to see sites 5, 6 & 7 improved but this intersection is just too busy and isn't suitable for family housing not an area that would be child friendly. If you downsized the housing in these areas then I probably would have selected these sites instead of #3 because of ready access to major streets - I just think over 100 units at each of these sites is too much. Two stories should be the limit on housing anywhere in the business center, all of the locations except for #1. I wish you had put schools on the map - it should be a consideration as to where housing is located. |
| New Encinitas Build New Encinitas Build New Encinitas Build New Encinitas Build New Encinitas Build New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE TRUE FALSE | NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 NCMU-L3 NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 - NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING RI-M23 RI-M23 RI-M23 NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 | Reduce units in area 4 by 80. Must accomodate parking needs and make both areas walkable. Put more into empty buiding being re-zoned to allow apartments like them empty mattress store on ECR or the empty lot near Armstrong gardens (that area to Target and Ross for jobs and shopping). I also would like to see Olivehein have more than just 15% of the new housing units. There is more space in the area and less current traffic. I do not want site #3 used due to heavy traffic in the area already and I DO NOT want Shield Ave opened to thru traffic do to ruining the community on Turner Ave and Rodney to more traffic. We have young children that currently are safe to play in the front yards and |
| New Encinitas Build New Encinitas Build New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS inside NEW ENCINITAS inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum on forum on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING | Any increased headcount in the El Camino Corridor would be a crazy idea. None of these places are good options. Better options would be around Saxony and La Costa if anywhere. I must have missed something regarding how many units we "need" to add at all in Encinitas, let alone New Encinitas. In my opinion we shouldn't be adding any units to any of these beautiful neighborhoods we have. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L3 | Keep the traffic off El Camino Real as much as possible. The road already has a failing grade, and additional impacts will harm the businesses along the road. |

| Торіс | Location | State | Business Owner | NE-1 | NE-2 | NE-3 | NE-4 | NE-S | NE-6 | NE-7 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | Too many houses are being proposed to be built in too narrow an area. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | I think more homes should be in the Cardiff area where it is now less dense than New Encinitas. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | • | RI-M23 | - | - | - | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | • | RI-M23 | - | - | • | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | • | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | • | • | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | I think sites 2 and 3 are the only sites not appropriate for the zoning. The other sites could work with supporting transportation development to/from the I-5. This area can save Olivenhain and Leucadia from inappropriate zoning. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | - | - | RI-M23 | NCMU-L23 | • | NCMU-L23 | • | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | • | NCMU-L23 | RI-M3 | - | - | - | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | | - | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | I would like to emphasize that walkability to activity centers, services, grocery stores, schools and Main Street areas is key. I would also love to see all development be to the highest environmental standard and incorporate complete streets models to and from these areas. Elements to include and demand are renewable energies, reclaimed materials, LID (low impact Development design), no grass, water wise and ocean friendly landscaping, and people friendly places with gardens and community spaces. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | TRUE | - | - | - | - | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLIVENHAIN . | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | Sustainable development requires careful consideration in the repurposing of underutilized space such as strip malls and location near transportation corridors. The entire El Camino corridor is strip mall hell which could be brightened by careful design of mixed use development. Several sites are indicated her but I'm not sure why more sites are not included as options such as across El Camino from sites 3,4,5. The one problem with development here is that traffic congestion is already high and though bus lines are close the ideal location for San Diego is near the rail tracks to get cars and buses off the road. |
| New Encinitas Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | FALSE | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | RI-M23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | - | - | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | RI-M23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | - | RI-M23 | • | • | - | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L3 | R1-M23 | - | - | - | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | - | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | - | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | - | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | - | - | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | - | - | - | - | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | • | - | - | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | - | - | - | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | - | - | |

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | NE-1 | NE-2 | NE-3 | NE-4 | NE-5 | NE-6 | NE-7 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| New Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | I would take some units from 6 and 7 and put them on #4. |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | unverified | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | unverified | | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | - | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | | NCMU-L3 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | unverified | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | |
| New Encinitas Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | unverified | | NCMU-L3 | | RI-M3 | | - | - | - | |

| Marchand | Торіс | Location | State | Business | OE-1 | OE-2 | OE-3 | OE-4 | OE-5 | OE-6 | OE-7 | OF-8 | OE-9 | OE-10 | OE-11 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|---|----------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---|
| March Marc | Old Encipites Build | incide CARDIEE BY THE SCA | on forum | | NONOTICING | NCMII 122 | NOUGUEINE | NOUGUEURO | | | | | | | | |
| Manual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mathematical Mat | Old Encinitas Build | | | TRUE | M3MU-323 | MCMO-E3(109 BHRS) | | KI-M23 | M5MU-523 | NOHOUSING | | NCMU-L23 | | | MSMU-S23 | Quail Gardens drive vacant land behind Sunshine |
| Mathematical Mat | Old Encinitas Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | | | | NCMU-L3 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | Gardens |
| Ministry | Old Encinitas Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | FALSE | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | | | | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | | | |
| Monitoria Moni | Old Encinitas Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TEUE | | | | | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | | | | |
| Manual Personal Per | Old Encinitas Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | RI-ML23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Models 1988 Model 1987 Models 1988 M | Old Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Minishaska Minishask | Old Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | | | | MSMU-S23 | | | | | RI-ML23 | | |
| Monite M | Old Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Ministry | Old Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | | | | | | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | | | |
| Manual M | Old Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | | NCMU-L23 | | | | NCMU-L23 | | NCMU-L23 | | | | |
| ## Concisis Sulid ## Concisis S | Old Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L3(109 units) | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Mathematical Math | Old Encinitas Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | | | | | | NCMU-L23 | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | | | |
| Mathematics | Old Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on farum | | MSMU-S3(21 units) | NCMU-L23 | | | MSMU-S23 | | | | | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Manufact | Old Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Machinis Bull Globel Note PKOPKITIAS on form 170 MONOUSING MONOUSI | Old Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Machicals Bull Mach Mex Mex Meximum Machical Samu Mach Mex Mex Meximum Machical Samu | Old Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Machinals Build Inide NEW EXCHITAS on forum TUE NONOUSING NONOUSIN | Old Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L3(109 units) | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | | | | | | |
| Activate Note Activate Not | Old Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on f <u>or</u> um | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | MSMU-\$23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | | | |
| Missing | Old Encinitas Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TEUE | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3(109 units) | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | | | Instead of mixed use for site #2, what about an overlay |
| MS Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS en forum FLIE MSMI-523 NCMU-123 NC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | current center to mixed use would be difficult (at best), as to make this economically feasible the current center would have to be scraped anyway. It would be a great |
| Manual M | Old Encinitas Build | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Id Encinitas Build Id Encinitas | Old Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | support the density without creating problems. Fortunately, the remaining sites are more than enough to support the needs of this and the other communities. Development along Encinitas Blvd should include upgrades to the road and underpass to support bike |
| Machinal Result Machinal R | Old Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | | MSMU-S23 | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | | | | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Id Encinitas Build Id Encinitas | Old Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | | NCMU-L23 | | | | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | | | | | |
| MSMU-S23 | Old Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | | MSMU-523 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | | Sites 2 and 7 would be great for seniors. Site 2 is near the park where they would be able to enjoy watching and maybe interacting with the children at play. Site 7 would be good for seniors also with the botanic garden and heritage museum just up the road. |
| Inside OLD ENCINITAS | Old Encinitas Build | | | FALSE | | | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | | |
| Inside OLD ENCINITAS On forum FALSE NCMU-L23 | Old Encinitas Build | | | | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L23 | | | | | | | | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Ide Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS Inside OLD ENCINTAS Inside | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ide Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS On forum FALSE MSMU-S23 NOHOUSING N | | | | | | | | | MSMU-S23 | | | | | RI-ML3 | | |
| Ide Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS on forum FALSE NOHOUSING MSMU-523 | | | *************************************** | | ******* | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ide Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS on forum TPUE NCMU-L23 MSMU-S3 NCMU-L23 RI-M3 NCMU-L23 NCMU- | | | | | M3MU-523 | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | | NOHOUSING | | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Id Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS on forum FALSE NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING MSMU-S23 NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING NOHOUS | | | | | | | ***** | | | NOTE: 122 | | | NCMU-L23 | KI-ML23 | | |
| Id Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS on forum NCMU-L32 NCMU-L32 NCMU-L32 NCMU-S23 MSMU-S23 Id Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS on forum MSMU-S3[21 units) NCMU-L3[109 units) NCMU-L3[109 units) NCMU-L3[109 units] NCMU-L3[1 | | | | | NOTIONS | | | AACAALI COO | | | | | Noncier | NOUGHER | NOUGHE | |
| Inside OLD ENCINITAS On forum MSMU-53(21 units) NCMU-L3(109 units) NCMU-L3(109 units) NCMU-L3(109 units) NCMU-L3(109 units) NCMU-L3 NCMU-L | | | | FALSE | MOHOUSING | | | | | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | NUHOUSING | NUHUUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Id Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS on forum FALSE MSMU-53 21 units) NCMU-L23 MSMU-523 MSMU-523 MSMU-523 NCMU-L3 NCMU-L23 NCMU-L3 | | | | | AACAALI CD/24!*-1 | | MOHOUSING | | | | | | | MONORIES | Nononer: - | |
| Id Encinitas Build inside OLD ENCINITAS on forum FALSE MSMU-53(21 units) NCMU-L3(109 units) NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NOHOUSING NCMU-L3 NOHOUSING | | | | EAISE | | | AACAALL.COG | | | NCMII I 2 | NCAMI 123 | NCMIL 12 | NCMILLS | | | |
| Id Encinitas Build Inside OLD ENCINITAS on forum FALSE NCMU-L3(109 units) MSMU-53 NCMU-L3 RI-ML23 MSMU-53 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Districts | Old Encinitas Build | | | | | | HONOUSING | | MONOUSING | NUMU-123 | | MICOODING | NUMU-LZ3 | | | |
| THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TWO T | | | | | MSMU-S3(21 unite) | | | | | | 1,51110-L3 | NCMII-13 | | MINITES | 17131810-33 | |
| | JIU ERCINITAS BUILD | made OLD ENCINHAS | on torum | INUE | MOMO-22(ST RUIS) | NCMU-L23 | | | | | | NCMU-E3 | | | | |

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | 0E-1 | OE-2 | OE-3 | OE-4 | OE-5 | OE-6 | OE-7 | OE-8 | OE-9 | OE-10 | OE-11 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|--|--|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| Old Encinitas Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | | NOHOUSING | MSMU-523 | hould like to emphasize that walkability to activity centers, services, grocery stores, schools and Main Street areas is key. I would also love to see all development be to the highest environmental standard and incorporate complete streets models to and from these areas. Elements to include and demand are renewable enregles, reclaimed materials, 100 (low impact Development design), no grass, water wise and ocean friendly landscaping, and people friendly places with gardens and community spaces. Varying heights from the road are always nice and the industrial content mixed use developments would visually blend in with your rustic native plant pallet. |
| Old Encinitas Build Old Encinitas Build | inside OLIVENHAIN inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | TRUE FALSE | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 MSMU-S23 | MSMU-523 MSMU-523 | NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | Sustainable growth is only achieved with repurposing underutilized areas such as strip malls in areas near transportation and business. At present the best options for transportation are along the 101 corridor with the coaster station and bus lines. Building away from public transportation just fosters more cars and gridlock in an over utilized its corridor. Extra units are added here to offset other communities that don't have a good set of options presented. |
| Old Encinitas Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | FALSE | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L23 | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S3 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Old Encinitas Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | TEUE | MSMU-S3(21 units) | | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-M3 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L3 | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S3 | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | on forum | | , | NCMU-L23 | | | | NCMU-L23 | | NCMU-L23 | | | | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | MSMU-S3(21 units) | | | | | NCMU-L3 | RI-M23 | | NCMU-L23 | | | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | l | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-M3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S3 | MSMU-S3 | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | | RI-ML23 | MSMU-S3 | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | | | | | | | RI-M23 | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L23 | | | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L3(109 units) | | RI-M3 | | | | | | RI-ML3 | | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | | | RI-M3 | | | NCMU-L23 | | NCMU-L23 | | DI 441.22 | | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | | NCMU-L3(109 units) | | MeMu con | | NCMU-L3 | | NCMU-L23 | | RI-ML23 RI-ML23 | MSMU-S23 | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | MSMU-S23 | MSMU-S23 NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | NCMIL 122 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-MILZ3 | NOHOUSING | |
| Old Encinitas Build Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NONCOSING | MOHOOSING | MSMU-S23 | NONCOSING | NCIVIO-L23 | MCMIO-LZ3 | NCINO-L23 | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | MSMU-S3(21 units) | NCMU-L23 | MSMU-S23 | RI-M23 | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L3 | NCMU-L3 | | | WISHIO SES | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | M3W0-33(22 dilla) | NCWO-LLS | (415)410-323 | IN MES | MSMU-S3 | nemo LES | Heimo La | NCMU-L23 | | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | | | | | MSMU-S3 | | NCMU-L23 | | NCMU-L3 | | MSMU-S23 | |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | MSMU-S23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L23 | NCMU-L3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | • |
| Old Encinitas Build | | unclaimed | | | NCMU-L23 | | | | NCMU-L23 | RI-M23 | | NCMU-L23 | | | • |
| Old Encinitas Build | inside OLIVENHA!N | unverified | | | NCMU-L23 | | | | | RI-M23 | | | RI-ML23 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | 0-1 | 0-2 | 0-3 | 0-4 | 0-5 | 0-6 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Olivenhain Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | TRUE | - | | VCMU-M3 | RI-ML23 | RI-S3 | | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside CARDIFF BY THE SEA | on forum | FALSE | RI-S3 | VCMU-M23 | - | RI-ML23 | | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | - | RI-M23 | - | NCMU-L23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside LEUCADIA | on forum | TRUE | - | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | Inside LEUCADIA | on forum | FALSE | - | - | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML23 | RI-S3 | | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | | VCMU-M3 | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L23 | - | - | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | - | VCMU-M23 | - | NCMU-L23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | | Rt-S23 | VCMU-M23 | | NCMU-L23 | RI-S3 | | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | RI-S23 | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | RI-S23 | RI-S3 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | RI-53 | RI-M3 | | RI-ML3 | | • | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | RI-S3 | VCMU-M3 | • | NCMU-L23 | Ri-53 | RI-53 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | Site 3 is the only site I would consider for zone change. Olivenhain can't maintain its unique character and support the number of units allotted. Fortunately, the other communities can make up the difference. |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | - | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | | RI-53 | - | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | TRUE | RI-S23 | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | - | | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | RI-523 | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L23 | | - | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | | RI-S3 | RI-M23 | - | RI-ML3 | RI-S3 | RI-S3 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | RI-S23 | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L3 | Ri-S23 | RI-S3 | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | on forum | FALSE | RI-523 | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-523 | NOHOUSING | I will never be able to meet the 192 New housing unit quota for Olvenhein. I believe that keeping Olevenhain's rural nature is key to the history of our City and we should include the denser housing on already developed corridors. This is more practical and sustainable. I also did not see the Bentley steak shopping center on the proposed list of properties or the EUSD parcel or the Rite Ad center. Converting those to mixed use is more sustainable than resident infill on already vacant land. I believe that including 192 additional units along Encinitas Blud and El camino real is in more complete alignment with the visual landscape of our community and is more environmentally responsible. I have accounted for those additional units in my surveys of each community. I do not believe that opportunity sites number 1, 5 and 4 should be included but I has to make a choice so that I could get this message to you and proceed. Thank you. |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML23 | RI-523 | NOHOU5ING | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | | RI-S3 | RI-S23 | • |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | | NOHOUSING | | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M3 | RI-ML23 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML3 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML3 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | Multi-use 2 to 3 story on the southwest and southeast corner of Rancho Santa Fe, Manchester and Encinitas Blvd intersections - would require redevelopment of existing facilities. |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | FAL5E | - | VCMU-M3 | - | RI-ML3 | RI-S3 | - | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M3 | RI-ML3 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | 0-1 | 0-2 | 0-3 | 0-4 | 0-5 | 0-6 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|--|
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | FALSE | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M3 | RI-ML23 | RI-523 | NOHOUSING | Half of these sites cannot seriously be considered viable options for high-density or multi-use housing projects, and it is a waste of time to promote them as such. These types of projects should be located on or near major corridors where residents have access to existing infrastructure (public transportation, commercial/shopping venues) or where high-density housing already exists. Sites #1 and #2 on Rancho Santa Fe Rd are non-starters. This two-lane road has no sidewalks and is already subject to severe traffic delays, and backs up to Encinitas Blvd. during peak travel times. Does the city plan to widen Rancho Santa Fe Road? I think not. Further, we finally have a long-fought for and promised fire department sub-station at site #1. High density and multi-use housing projects are adverse to the rural/equestrian/agrarian lifestyle in Olivenhain. Simply put, if we wanted to live in a high density, planned community, we would live in one of the many that surround us already. Although site #4 is on a major corridor, the site is ill-advised for such a large project due to its proximity to the lagoon. To be fair, Olivenhain should "share the burden" of accommodating future growth, but not at the expense of current residents. Surely, there are more suitable sites elsewhere in the city that are more suitable to these types of projects, ones that will actually increase quality of life, not detract from it. |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M3 | NOHOUSING | RI-ML23 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | Site #1 cannot support higher density. Adding high density units there does not align with the character of the community of Olivenhain, as shown in the maps. Site #1 will add to the already jammed traffic on RSF Road. It should not even be in consideration. It is impossible to be close to the magic 192 number; it would make sense to lower the number of units at site #S. Why aren't we spreading the units to include space at the Northeast and Southwest corners? |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAİN | on forum | | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | NOHOUSING | NCMU-L3 | RI-S23 | NOHOUSING | Allowing for s o many housing units in Olivenhain is an unthinkable idea not only becaus e it would conflict with the community profile, but more importantly becaus e already impacted traffic on Rancho Santa Fe Road, Encinitas Blvd, and Manches ter Ave would lead to extreme congestion. Although one bus route runs between Encinitas and San Marcos (and allows for transfer to other routes), this is the only public trans portation. Site #1 borders two-land RSF Rd where traffic backs up every morning and afternoon-s ometimes leading to a 20 minute drive from Encinitas Blvd to the propos ed site. Having more units would only mean more cars, more environmental is sues (e.g., exhaust fumes), and driver/resident frustrations. This site borders the small center where the Encinitas Olivenhain Fire Station is located and which is staffed by only two fire pers onnel who man one brus h-type engine. Sites #3 and #6 were proposed by Accretive Properties as a mixed use center of residences and commercial, with no changes in ingress/egress from Encinitas Blvd, but a traffic circle just north of the 7-11 in Olivenhain Platz. Perhaps a smaller number of units is feasible, but major road improvement would be neces sary-without impacting the already heavily traveled inters ection. This would absolutely require better ingres s/egress on Encinitas Blvd. Encinitas Country Day School is across Encinitas Blvd, and a pre-school is just north of #3. Traffic that exits onto Encinitas Blvd from the schools is hazardous because drivers have to use the center yellow-striped area for turns into and out, often cutting abruptly in front of traffic on Encinitas Blvd. A limited number of housing units may be appropriate, but extens ive improvements in traffic flow on Encinitas Blvd would be absolute necessities. Site #2 appears adjacent to the Harvest Ranch Market center, which is already zoned commercial. While this site presents as positive for building s ome housing units, Manchester is a winding, two-lane road so significant improvements to the |

Topic Location State Business O-1 O-2 O-3 O-4 O-5 O-6 If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here.

NOHOUSING NOHOUSING VCMU-M23 RI-ML23

RJ-523

Owner

Olivenhain Build

inside OLIVENHAIN

on forum

center, and housing site because having so many driveways intersecting would heighten possibility of accidents, for cars and pedestrians. There also may be environmental is sues relating to some of the property (e.g., wetlands). The final areas, #4 and #5, seem most appropriate as a number of large buildings are already built, including a Greek Orthodox church, Jewish temple/center, senior/assisted living center, and Mira Cos ta College. However, a large portion of the propos ed sites may be within an environmentally protected area and/or flood plain. Construction on the I-5 Manches ter on/off ramps is due to start shortly, so the impact on traffic must be considered as well (though once finis hed this will not be an is sue). Since multi-story units already exist on some of the property, density issues may be most easily addressed for additional construction. Again, significant improvements for ingress/egress onto Manchester, as well as improvements at the traffic signal at El Camino Real and Manches ter, would be necessary, We have lived on Val Sereno Drive in Olivenhain since 1971, and have therefore obs erved many, many changes to the area. Our particular community is what is called a PUD or planned unit development. It cons ists of 105 townhouses on Via de Caballo, 30 individual home sites (ranging from 1/4 to 1/3 acre) on Val Sereno Drive, and 15 3-acre home sites on Circa de Tierra. This is an anomaly in Olivenhain, but it shows that different types of housing can be developed--if done carefully and selectively.

When we moved here there were only 5 hous es on Val Sereno, and we watched as the rest of the street, Via de Caballo, and Circa de Tierra were built on. Yes , this meant more people and more traffic, but it also built community. The three types of housing reflect significant differences in home prices, without affecting living "conditions" or resale prices. Olivenhain may have some areas that remain "rural" such as way out on Lone Jack Road and connecting streets, but we are no longer "rural" in the true definition of the word. Change needs to be accepted, but changes that negatively impact distinct character of an area are not appropriate, nor should they be considered without extensive input from residents and careful thinking by the city planning department. We clearly unders tand that Encinitas needs to provide more "affordable hous ing" though what that means is an illusive terminology. What it must not mean is shoving increased density down anyone's throat, so to speak, and it also should not mean forcing mixed us e type units into established single-family neighborhoods or negatively impacting the environment. In hinds ight Encinitas should have seen the regulations about affordable housing coming down from Sacramento, but this did not happen, with the result of having to find places to build an exceptional number of housing units in an already extensively built-on city. We are primarily a city of mositly individual homes, and more housing is under construction along Quail Gardens Drive, and the Browns' property on Santa Fe Drive has estate sized homes under construction where increased density would have fit into the already es tablished neighborhood of s mall homes and condominiums, as well as churches and recreational centers. Where, oh where, did the plan for the future become overlooked? Although we have s ubmitted that if 192 units must be built in Olivenhain that s ites 2, 4, and 5 would be those we feel least impact the community, we do not believe so many units should be constructed in the Rancho Santa Fe Road/Encinitas Blvd area because of significant traffic concerns . Rather, we feel a smaller number must be considered in site 2, with larger numbers in sites 4 and 5, especially because the latter two areas already have multi-story and multi-us e buildings with considerable open space. Sue and Bob Norton

NOHOUSING Add more to our high traffic corridor. Cardiff could take more housing options along the main boulevard route to provide people access to public transportation.

| Topic | Location | State | Business Owner | 0-1 | 0-2 | 0-3 | 0-4 | 0-5 | 0-6 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | on forum | FALSE | RI-53 | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | RI-53 | R1-523 | First off, please disregard my choices, I can't come near the magic 192 units given the limited and silly options but can't submit a comment unless I select options that total 1921 Shame on the city for cramming these limited options down our throat! Olivenhain is a truly unique community in coastal San Diego that still maintains a sense of its agricultural roots with cows, horses, orchards, and hot air balloons. Home values are high in this region due to this unique character, building strip malls and apartment complexes in this community will decrease property values (and tax rolls for the city & county) and ruin a rare gem in the region. The options given focus on large lots or open space (sites 2,4,5,6) but give little thought to revamping already developed space. Losing sites 2 and 5 from the current community would remove the iconic pumpkin/christmas tree lots and site 2 would remove the iconic hot air balloons. Though sites 1 and 3 have small business sites that could be upgraded to mixed development, the numbers don't add up. This community does not have the public transportation infrastructure and is too far from business or transportation corridors to sustainably build affordable housing units so the plan doesn't make any sense. I live on Manchester and every 2-4 weeks there is a bad accident in front of my house due to some idiot driving too fast and yet there is no enforcement even when we beg the city and Sheriff's dept so let's add more people to the roadĂCÂCÂ. The Olivenhain trunk sewer already overflows with every rain event due to extremely poor planning of placing it at creek level in the floodplain, so why not add 192 families to the fouling of our estuariesÃcÂcÂ. Let's use some common sense and protect our last vestiges of open space, try not to increase traffic safety issues, and maintain our unique community character. Though slightly outside the Olivenhain area, a screamlingly obvious solution is upgrading the strip mall character of the community. Similarly, development of Encinitas Blvd |
| Olivenhain Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | FALSE | RI-523 | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | outside Community Areas | on forum | TRUE | NOHOUSING | | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | 4 | unclaimed | | | - | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML23 | RI-523 | - | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | RI-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | RI-523 | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | | VCMU-M3 | NOHOUSING | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | I am very concerned about the impact of traffic on Rancho Santa Fe Rd and Manchester Ave. Both are almost gridlocked during the morning and late afternoon hours. Rancho Santa Fe Road is the single north/south route and early mornings/late afternoons from Encinitas Blvd to Olivenhain Rd. (about 2 miles) can take up to 30 minutes. The Manchester on ramp to Hwy 5 is very backed up in the mornings. Sites #1 (worst choice!), #4, and #5 are bad choices for additional housing. |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | | RI-ML23 | RI-53 | | I feel that all of the units for Olivenhain could be built on sites 4&5, but the system did not allow for that. †do not really want the 71 units at site 2, but again, the system required me to add 71 units somewhere. I want Zero units in the heart of Olivenhain. This would cause too much traffic congestion to an already congested area. We continue to try to maintain our country-quiet feel. It is getting harder and harder to do so. |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | • | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML3 | • | - | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | - | VCMU-M23 | - | RI-ML3 | RI-53 | • | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L3 | - | - | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M3 | NCMU-L23 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | | | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML3 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | | | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML3 | RI-S3 | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML3 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | RI-S23 | RI-M23 | VCMU-M3 | RI-ML3 | RI-523 | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L23 | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | RI-53 | VCMU-M23 | | NOHOUSING | RI-S3 | RI-53 | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | NOHOUSING | - | VCMU-M23 | RI-ML23 | RI-S23 | | |
| Olivenhain Build | | unclaimed | | RI-S23 | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | NCMU-L23 | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside NEW ENCINITAS | unverified | | | RI-M3 | - | RI-ML23 | - | | |
| Oliyenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | unverified | | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M23 | VCMU-M23 | - | RI-S23 | RI-S23 | |

| Topic | Location | State | Business | 0-1 | 0-2 | 0-3 | 0-4 | O-S | 0-6 | If you would like to describe different sites and/or neighborhood designs, please do so here. |
|------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|--------|---|
| | | | Owner | | | | | | | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLD ENCINITAS | unverified | | RI-S3 | RI-M3 | • | NCMU-L3 | - | - | |
| Olivenhain Build | inside OLIVENHAIN | unverified | | NOHOUSING | NOHOUSING | VCMU-M3 | NCMU-L23 | RI-S3 | RI-S23 | |

.